Regulatory Environment for Food Allergen Labelling in Canada: Current situation and future perspectives

November, 2008
Food Allergies and Celiac Disease

A High Priority Public Health Issue? - Prevalence

- Food allergies affect an estimated 6% of Canadian children and 3-4% of adults.
- Celiac disease affects approximately 1% of the Canadian population.
- Celiac Disease is widely under-diagnosed.
Food Allergies and Celiac Disease

A High Priority Public Health Issue - Impacts

- 150-200 deaths/year due to food anaphylaxis in North America
- Estimated 2.6 deaths/year in Ontario (Largest Canadian province), attributed to Food Anaphylaxis
- Celiac disease is linked to multiple affections including osteoporosis and cancer
- Impacts go beyond family circle: Societal impacts
Food Allergy Incidents: rationale for action

- Food Allergy Incidents are preventable
- Avoidance is the most effective risk management approach for food allergic consumers
Stakeholders: Actors

- Government
  - Regulations
  - Enforcement
  - Education
- Food Industry
  - Safe Products
  - Education
  - Information
  - Offer of Choice
- Consumers
  - Responsibility
  - Choice
  - Action
Policy Objectives

- Enhanced protection to avoid inadvertant consumption of foods containing the «culprit ingredient»:
  - Minimize risks associated with inadvertent consumption of undeclared allergens in food;

- Avoid undue restrictions on choice for a safe and nutritious food supply:
  - Maximize Choices for food allergic consumers
Tool Box for Risk management

Prevention of food allergy incidents

- Legislative and Regulatory instruments:
  - *Food and Drugs Act and Regulations* and their enforcement

- Education, information dissemination

- Collaboration between stakeholders: Allergic consumers and the food industry (*Government plays a facilitation role*)
  - e.g. Improvement of manufacturing practices: codes of practice
  - Certification programs
Consumers depend on the information provided on the label to avoid the food allergen, gluten sources and added sulphites in a prepackaged food.
Prepackaged foods: Importance of food labels

Labeling: Key to identify common allergens

- Choice: Allergen Free
- Advisory / Precautionary labeling
- Of Ingredients
Prepackaged foods: Importance of food labels

Labeling: Key to identify common allergens

- Consumer Surveys indicating importance of labeling:
  - Canadian Survey 2008: 41.5% respondents experienced accidental exposure to allergens in their lifetime
    - From those, 33.6% attributed this accident to complex, incomplete labelling or mislabelling
    - 31.9% attributed this accident to failure to read the label
    - 6.3% to ignoring a precautionary statement
Prepackaged foods: Importance of food labels

Labeling: Key to identify common allergens

Choice: Allergen Free

Labeling

Advisory / Precautionary labeling

Of Ingredients
The Food and Drug Regulations require that a complete and accurate list of ingredients appear on the label of most prepackaged foods.

In most cases, the list of ingredients must include the components of ingredients (i.e. ingredients of ingredients).

Certain ingredients are exempt from component declaration.

Some prepackaged foods do not require a list of ingredients.

Ingredient names do not always reflect the “source” of the ingredient.
Regulatory Amendments

Primary Objectives

- To require the mandatory “source” declaration of the common food allergens and gluten using simple, plain language in English and French
  - Including allergens and gluten present in components of ingredients that are currently exempt from component declaration.

- To enhance the declaration of sulphites when present in the prepackaged food in a total amount of 10 ppm or more.

- **Scope:** Applicable for all ingredients intentionally added to prepackaged foods.
“Food Allergen” Defined

Any protein from any of the following foods or any modified protein, including any protein fraction, that is derived from the following foods:

- Almonds, Brazil nuts, cashews, hazelnuts, macadamia nuts, pecans, pine nuts, pistachios, walnuts
- Eggs
- Milk
- Soybeans
- Crustacea
- Fish
- Shellfish
- Peanuts
- Sesame seeds
- Wheat, kamut, spelt, triticale
Proposed Regulatory Amendments

“Gluten” Defined
Any gluten protein from the grain of any of the following cereals or the grain of a hybridized strain created from at least one of the following cereals:

- Wheat, spelt, kamut
- Oats
- Barley
- Rye
- Triticale
Proposed Regulatory Amendments

Label Declaration

- Sources of common food allergens and gluten must be declared either
  - in the list of ingredients,

  OR

  - in the statement: “Allergy and Intolerance Information – Contains:”

- Sulphites must be declared
  - in a statement “Allergy and Intolerance Information – Contains:” when present at levels of 10 ppm or higher

- All current requirements for ingredient declaration remain valid
For products which do not require a list of ingredients but which choose to add an ingredient list, the list will have to be complete and accurate for food allergens, gluten sources and sulphites.
Proposed Regulatory Amendments

Common names of starches, modified starches, hydrolyzed protein and lecithin would now be modified as follows:

- The name of the source of protein be identified in the common name of all hydrolyzed proteins.
- The name of the plant source be identified in the common name of all forms of starch or modified starch.
- The name of the source of lecithin be identified in the common name of lecithin.
Next Steps

- Publication in CGI – in July 2008
- Health Canada response to public comments
  - *Early 2009*
- Preparation of final version of amendments
- Publication in Canada Gazette Part II
  - *By January, 2010*
- New regulatory amendments enacted with a one year transition period.
Prepackaged foods: Importance of food labels

Labeling: Key to identify common allergens

- Choice: Allergen Free
- Advisory / Precautionary labeling
- Of Ingredients
“may contain”: Why and when?

- In Canada, « may contain » labeling was identified as a judicious risk management measure
  - To address instances of « unavoidable » cross-contamination or cross-contact during food processing
  - To alert allergic consumers of the « unsuitability of the product » for their condition and of a possible risk
  - Statement differs from a « contains » statement, however risk may be identical
Precautionary Labeling

Precautionary labelling: Now!

- Current studies show instances of over-use / mis-use of precautionary statements, which impact on food allergic consumers:
  - Precautionary statements...
    - No longer provide meaningful information to allergic consumers or their family/social circles
    - Can lead to allergic incidents (warning statements do not clearly reflect the risk level and/or are misinterpreted or ignored)
    - Can unnecessarily limit their food choices
Precautionary labelling: Truthful/Helpful?

Ingredient list much shorter than allergen precautionary statement
What does it mean?

Risk? / No risk?
Precautionary labeling: what’s in the products?

- Health Canada recently surveyed (2007) chocolate and granola bars…
  - The most common PS found was « May contain traces of… »
  - Levels of food allergens found varied widely, from non-detected to 6,500 ppm (or 0.65%) in a chocolate product which consistently used « may contain traces »:
    - The word « traces » can be misleading
    - Corroborate the fact that allergic consumers must avoid products with PS
A Canadian survey (2008) conducted among allergic consumers showed that:

- The most deterring statement was « Not suitable for people with an allergy to X » (93% would never purchase)

- « May contain X» and « Manufactured on same equipment… » had also good deterring power (87% would never purchase)

- « May contain traces of X » and « Packaged/Manufactured in a facility that also… » were least likely to result in avoidance (72%)
POLICY REVIEW OBJECTIVE

Precautionary labeling: “may contain”

- Reinstating the use of precautionary statements as a meaningful and effective risk management tool in order to:
  - minimize risks associated with inadvertent consumption of undeclared priority allergens in food; and
  - maximize available choice of safe and nutritious foods for consumers with food allergies and celiac disease.
The renewed policy on precautionary labeling would:

- **Restrict statements from a short list of precautionary statements (PS):**
  - Any other PS than those on the short list would be considered misleading and enforcement would take place if they are used.

- **Mandate the justification of the use of precautionary labeling**
  - i.e. documentation of the reasons invoked by the manufacturer/processor to use PS as a risk mitigating tool.

- **Be complemented by the development and implementation of:**
  - *Education initiatives* (consumers/industry)
  - *A code of practice on allergens handling*
Prepackaged foods: Importance of food labels

Labeling: Key to identify common allergens

Choice: Allergen Free

Labeling

Advisory / Precautionary labeling

Of Ingredients
Providing Choice: « Allergen Free Foods »

- Food Allergic Consumers are asking for foods clearly identified as being suitable and safe for their conditions
- Area of « Special Purpose foods »
- Considered as a rising segment in agri-food innovation

Consideration for change:
- Regulatory frameworks:
  - « Gluten Free » foods covered by national and international standards
  - Other regulations?
- Consideration for change using a pre-market oversight.
Food Allergic Consumers

Enhanced Protection

Enhanced Legislative / Regulatory frameworks
Regulations adaptable to science and consumer changes

Improved food processing practices
Food Destined to allergic community

Consumers aware of Risks
Consumers aware of choices
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For more information, please visit us
@

www.healthcanada.gc.ca/foodallergies

www.inspection.gc.ca/english/fssa/fssae.shtml
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