Allergen Bureau

Agricultural Cross Contact *The origins of the guideline*

FACTS Assessing Agricultural Cross Contact Masterclass 21st February 2024





- The Allergen Bureau is the peak industry body representing best practice food industry allergen management globally
- Membership based organisation established to provide food industry with rapid responses to questions about allergen risk management in food ingredients and manufactured foods
- Established in 2005, pre-competitive, 'not-forprofit', Allergen Bureau directors provide voluntary, unpaid services



What is Agricultural Co-Mingling?

Agricultural co-mingling is the result of different crops being grown in proximity with each other, sharing the same fields due to crop rotation, and/or sharing the same equipment/facilities for harvesting, transport, and storage, despite the application of allergen controls as part of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs).





What do we know about Agricultural Co-Mingling?



- Agricultural practices are unlikely to change, however GAP are encouraged
- Industry requires a way in which to identify and manage the presence and prevalence of potential allergen cross contact



Why was the Resource Needed?



The two key questions that required answering are:

- 1. How do you obtain accurate information?
- 2. How do you use the information once you have it?



The Allergen Bureau's Agricultural Co-Mingling Working Group has been committed to producing <u>practical</u> guidance to assist industry to identify and manage agricultural cross contact risk.





Who Is The Guide For?

Relevant to all areas of the food industry the new guide will be a useful tool for

- growers,
- primary producers,
- food ingredient manufacturers, importers,
- suppliers,
- food business operators (FBO's),
- importers of packaged foods.





The Resource Provides Guidance on:

- 1. Agricultural co-mingling
 - a) Information on cross contact allergens associated with crops and commodities
 - b) Agricultural practices and controls
- 2. Ingredient questionnaire
- 3. Risk rating matrix and recommended sample numbers
- 4. Sample collection, volume, frequency
- 5. Allergen analysis recommendations
- 6. Intended used of the outcomes of analysis
- 7. Case studies





Business Impacts to Consider

- Supply chains are complex and uncontrollable
- Risks still require identification
- Unexpected allergen presence occurs due to:
 - Intentional addition (VACCP) or;
 - Unintentional / accidental adventitious presence
- Due diligence must always be demonstrated, even when it's hard PRODUCT RECALL
 - "applying all practicable measures"
- Brand and reputation damage
- Recalls cost \$\$



Resources Available



A	Allergen RAW MATERIAL		ISK MATRIX QUESTIONNAIRE						 					
Bureau		Entry of Dentes & Assembler's las					1	Pate Score La						
***	emergitive fixed industry	Material Supplier						1 1	Low	-40		Concernence of		
		Date Assessed						1 1	Me	50-88		Desetors	Destina	% Completion
_				_		_		_	Night	80.018	-	10000		10 M
	Risk Assessme	nt Questions	Low Rot		Response O	ption			Your Enspects	Tetal Score *	Question Completed	20	20	0%
424	Is the material produced from an equivalent	t regulatory (unidation?	744				50							
92	Does the supply chain include provers or p	Procession from international sources?	80		indo iterationi assisted;		transporting and an an and an an an and an	7						
60	Is the supplier GPSI cedified?		Yes				10	1			N			
44	Does the supplier have a documented and	d robust allergen management plan?	399				10	7			N			
	Does your business have a dataled under	nlanding and tracebility of the agricultural	100				140							
۳	supply chain 8.e Crop rotation, potential or standard, anumous and trading all	ross contact that due to shared equipment, Int	100 million				dama da altida	30						
05	An other allements crops used in copy role	ation or proven in close proximity?	Ro				Tes	1			N			
	How is the commodity traded / associat?		Constantiation 2 magniture property		-		Referation with with forum based controls	8			N			
	An effective measures in piece to preve contact from shared equipment and facil	int or minimise potential allergen order Mass Broughout the supply chain?	794		Patialy		Sep / Linkshowe	+						
	Are there primery and secondary proces manufacture of the material?	sors involved in the processing and/or	201		Some of the Unit		Yes / Linescore							
qe.	Are effective measures in place to identify, alleganic materials similar in size and colo to difficulties in cleaning and/or separation	prevent or minimise the presence of other or as the commodity being purchased (due a)?			Particly		the / Linkstone	7.						
911	Has allergen analysis been conducted on	the allergen of opecam for this commotily?	Two On to On?				100 1000-10-0014	11			N			
412	If as, has lealing been performed by an	ISO 17025 accedited laboratory?	595 doi 10 001					3			N			
413	Has the commedity undergone validation methodology used? (Cafer, Alecter, Burn	n/vertication for outphility, for the sec. Food Affection Analysis Resource (204				-	8			N			
Q2.4	is this commodily exposed to the industry threats or ruln-rabilities?	of undeclared allegans due to supply them	1.96				711	4						
Q15	Has there been any previous incolence of detection?	allegen otses contact and/or allegen	Bug to Gr7				These / Ethiotecomity Div m. Cittl	.0			N			
Qr6	If so, has the supplier successfully address or detection	seed the previous incidence of cross sortact	Sict Applicable		999		10	1			N			
917	Dires your supplier have awareness and o uhael?	oresunications any changes in the scopply	799					6			N			
Q19	Are there any allergen "tree front" claims a makenal?	sacclated with the purchase of this raw	10				744	10			N			
qre	What is the fore of the material received?		Readily Departure				Paninjara	8			N			
929	What is the expected form of the cross con	start allerges in the material?	Panelly Department	$\hat{\tau}$			Particularlo (uninciano	10						



Designed to integrate with, and inform other existing programs



Overview Of The Risk Assessment Steps

Use the guide, supplier and raw material information complete the Raw Material Risk Matrix Questionnaire

Determine the risk rating: Low Medium High Use sampling guidance to collect the number of samples required Conduct allergen analysis, review results, determine presence and prevalence Use the outcome to inform your Allergen Management Plan and Quantitative Risk Assessment











Raw Material Risk Matrix Questionnaire

Allergen Bureau

Informing the food industry



Ris	k Assessment Questions	Response Options							
		Lower Risk				Higher Risk			
Q1	Is the material procured from an equivalent regulatory jursidiction?	Yes	1			No	5		
Q2	Does the supply chain include growers or processors from international sources?	No	1	single international source only	3	multiple international sources (grows and/or processors)	7		
Q3	Is the supplier GFSI certified?	Yes	1			No	4		
Q4	Does the supplier have a documented and robust allergen management plan?	Yes	1			No	7		
Q5	Does your business have a detailed understanding and tracebility of the agricultural supply chain (i.e Crop rotation, potential cross contact risk due to shared	Yes				No	31		
	equipment, storage, transport, sourcing and trading etc)	Go to Q6				Skip to Q10			
Q6	Are other allergenic crops used in crop rotation or grown in close proximity?	No	1			Yes	6		
Q7	How is the commodity traded / sourced?	Controlled backward integration programs	1	Contracted farms	3	General markets with lesser known controls	6		
Q8	Are effective measures in place to prevent or minimise potential allergen cross contact from shared equipment and facilities throughout the supply chain?	Yes	1	Partially	4	No / Unknown	7		
Q9	Are there primary and secondary processors involved in the processing and/or manufacture of the material?	No	1	Some of the time	3	Yes / Unknown	5		
Q10	Are effective measures in place to identify, prevent or minimise the presence of other allergenic materials similar in size and colour as the commodity being purchased (due to difficulties in cleaning and/or separation)?	Yes	1	Partially	4	No / Unknown	7		
011	Has allergen analysis been conducted on the allergen of concern for this	Yes	1			No	11		
4	commodity?	Go to Q12				Skip to Q14			





Sampling Guidance

Risk Rating	Number of Samples	Supporting Standards
Low	5	EN ISO 948:2009 Spices and condiments Sampling ⁸
Medium	Minimum 10. Square root of consignment (if above 100 units)	USFDA Investigations Operations Manual 2020 Chapter 4 - Sampling section 4.3.7.2 Random Sampling ¹² EN ISO 948:2009 Spices and condiments Sampling ⁸ DS/CEN/TS 15568 2007 Foodstuffs - Methods of analysis for the detection of GMO and derived products - Sampling strategies, Section 7 ⁷
High	Minimum 15. 10 % of consignment (if above 150 units)	Codex CAC/GL 50- 2004, Table 8, page 34 based on the ICMFS Micro sampling guides ⁹ EN ISO 948:2009 Spices and condiments Sampling ⁸ DS/CEN/TS 15568 2007 Foodstuffs - Methods of analysis for the detection of GMO and derived products - Sampling strategies, Section 7 ⁷

How were the sample numbers decided?

- Review of current sampling standards for commodities
- No sampling plans for allergens in commodities
- All sampling plans for commodities assume homogeneity
 - Sample numbers decrease the larger the lot size
- Required a "sweet spot"
 - Enough samples to give confidence in determining prevalence
 - Acceptable cost to industry



Sampling Considerations

- Sampling approach recommended is random to encourage non-biased sampling
- Recognises allergen presence is not always homogeneous
- Includes the recommendation to use visual inspection of the material in addition to analytical analysis
- Sample collection dependant on the consignment (stream sampling, probes, or automatic sampling)
- For static sampling use a probe to allow for cross sectional sampling
- Sample volume is recommended





Presence and Prevalence

Presence

- Considers the form of the allergen
- Allergen detectability
 - visual and or analytical

Prevalence

- How often can you detect the allergen in the number of samples analysed?
- Informs the level of risk introduced into the facility





Application of the Risk Assessment Outcomes





1. Raw material

- Information may be difficult to obtain
- Lacking information results is a higher risk rating outcome
- Risk reduction strategies can be implemented when gaps are identified
- Detection of allergens through analysis and or visual assessment informs allergen management practices





2. Allergen Management

Determining **presence and prevalence** of the allergen in the material:

- Informs the allergen risk profile in the facility
- Informs AMP procedures
 - Material handling procedures
 - Production scheduling
 - Cleaning





3. VITAL[®] 3.0 Risk Assessment

- Identifies the form of the ingredient cross contact
- Allows the business to assess further processing impacts (milling, grinding, etc)
- Analysis informs variability of presence and prevalence (ppm) and aids in determining likely maximum cross contact levels
- Where assessed agricultural cross contact is determined to be homogeneous, unavoidable and sporadic, this can be used in a VITAL risk assessment





"We can only do what we do because of our members financial support"

The new Assessing Agricultural Cross Contact 2022 Guide is a perfect example of how we use these resources to develop tools for the benefit of the whole industry.





The Sample and Testing Sub-Working Group

- Una Mullany (The Coca Cola Company)
- Rhonda Spyrou (The Kraft Heinz Company)
- Vivienne Balm (The Kraft Heinz Company)
- Dean Clarke (National Measurement Institute)
- Kieran Hopkins (SGS)
- Karl Kusko (ALS Global)
- Joanne Price (HJ Langdon)

We sincerely thank this team for volunteering their time outside of work hours

THANK YOU

Don't forget to tell your ideas about this presentation and share it with us!

CONTACT US:



info@allergenbureau.net

allergenbureau.net

@allergenbureau